### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Anchorage Field Office

### CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX) FORM

CX No.: AK-040-01-CX-028 Lease/Serial/Case File No.: AA-78929			
Proposed Action Title/Types: To continue the use of four monitoring geotechnical test holes.			
Location of Proposed Action: <u>NW3 Sec. 2, S2 Sec. 3, T. 12 N., R. 3 W., Seward Meridian</u> (BLM Campbell Tract)			
<b>Description of Proposed Action:</b> The Proposed Action is to continue to use four 8" diameter x 25' deep wells to monitor ground water levels on the BLM Campbell Tract Facility for an additional three years. No new construction or surface disturbing activity is proposed. The original stipulations remain unchanged.			
Applicant: Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility  PART I - PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW			
This Proposed Action is subject to the following land use plan: Southcentral MFP			
Date Plan Approved: March 1980			
The Proposed Action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).			
Remarks:			
DADT II NEDA DEVIEW			
A. Categorical Exclusion Review. This Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 2, Appendix 1 or 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4. E.19			

# CX FORM (Cont'd.)

#### AK-040-01-CX-028

B.

The Depart	artmental Exceptions Review. following Departmental List of Exceptions apply to individual actions. artmental instructions mandate that environmental documents MUST BE PARED for actions which may: (Mark applicable answer for each item.		",	
	are an EA/EIS and append this form to it.)	-		
1.	Have significant adverse impacts on public health or safety.	YES	NC X	
2.	Have adverse effects on unique geographic characteristics, historic or resources, park, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on t	eas, wild or scenic rivers, s, wetlands, floodplains,		
	Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks.		<u>X</u>	
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects.		<u>X</u>	
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effectunique or unknown environmental risks.		olve _ <u>X</u>	
5.	Establish a precedent for future actions or represent a decision in prin future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.	ciple ab	out _ <u>X</u> _	
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	t	<u>X</u>	
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the Register of Historic Places.	National	l _ <u>X</u> _	
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated critical habitat for these species. X			
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Flood plain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination ActX			
0.	Threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirement the protection of the environment.	ement imposed for $\underline{\underline{X}}$		

# CX FORM (Cont'd.)

#### AK-040-01-CX-028

I certify that none of the Departmental exceptions listed in the above Part B (516 DM 2, Appendix 2) apply to this action.
Remarks:
Preparer(s): /s/ Shirley Rackley Date: Date:
PART III - DECISION
I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, wit the mitigation measures either identified below or stipulation(s) attached in this case file.
Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks: The original stipulations remain unchanged.
Remarks:
Authorized Official: /s/ Peter J. Ditton, Acting Date: 10/11/01  Anchorage Field Manager